**FACTOM**

**COMMUNITY**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**Factom** Governance **Meeting #19-**52

**201**9**-**12-23

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date and time of meeting** | 2019-12-23, 20:00 UTC |
| **Date minutes drafted** | 2019-12-23 |
| **Date minutes approved** |  |
| **Organization/Team** | Factom Guides |
| **Attendees** | Centis BV (Niels), Factom Inc (Brian Deery), TRGG3R LLC (Nolan), DBGrow (Nic R), The 42nd Factoid AS (Tor) |
| **Members not in attendance** | None |
| **Other attendees** |  |
| **Meeting Leader** | TRGG3R LLC (Nolan) |
| **Meeting Secretary** | The 42nd Factoid AS (Tor) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 0** | * Roll Call - * Approval of Minutes |
| **Discussion** |  |
| **Conclusion** | * All guides in attendance. * Motion to approve guide meeting minutes from previous meeting minutes passed unanimously. |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 1** | Announcements/Reminders/Process timeline |
| **Discussion** | * Current ongoing processes:   + Ongoing Document Ratifications:     - N/A   + Grant success determinations’: None * [Process discussion / document ratification timeline:](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15QMJrxErMetSgpzwQUKQ_OjmccqfTNvuImdOLjruvlc/edit?usp=sharing)     Cube3 (MikeB):  I see we have the beginnings of a plan for 2020 with elections & grants etc. Are there any plans to collect and prioritise any other pieces of work?  Nolan: We should create a list of objectives and start make a plan for 2020.  Niels: Working on a proposal to revise many systems at once without losing overall work we have done so far. Hopefully we can use that as a starting point to make some of those things a priority.  Nolan: I would like to work with you on that.  Niels: Yes, I’m struggling a bit with decision to about either to make a public discussion about it directly, or if the guides should work on it together before presenting it. |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 2 | Network Update (Brian) |
| Discussion | Brian: Got next version in final testing. Most Inc. people are off on vacation. Peer-to-peer testing went fairly well over the past few days, and it kind of preempted the rollout of the new software. Most of the stuff that has been going on has been the refactor and rewrite behind the scenes. I don’t have a whole lot of updates this week on that on specific items. Will not be a whole lot going on over the next couple of weeks. I don’t anticipate trying to roll out the next release to the testnet until the new year  Tor: Will there be a list of items for the rewrite included |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 3 | Testnet Admin election (Tor) |
| Discussion | Decided to move ahead with tesntet admin election off of new testnet administration governance. A discrepancy was identified - Testnet admin responsible to Standing parties and elected by the Standing Parties yet the proces only identified the ANOs as voting in the Testnet Admin.  Drafted up an amendment proposal to open up the voting process to incorporate Guides as well. Hoping to complete the amendment of this document in the coming weeks and vote in the new Testet Administrator  -Brian asked question about additional guide voting in addition to the ANOs?  -Tor - No, the process appendix was incorrect and shouldve had ANOs the entire time.  Will need to coordinate the amendment with Standing Parties in the coming week |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up | Oversee amendemnt vote |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 4 | Testnet coordination of load test (Tor) |
| Discussion | Worked with testnet leader and audit node runners to ensure all nodes were upgraded to meet the minimum specifications. Factomize (Who) requested a P2P test to be conducted. Ran an extended test lasting 24hrs @ 25 entries per second and the consensus was that the network handled the load very well.  Tor - Will p2p be incorporated in the next Fcatomd release?  Brian - Not this release |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 5 | Threads on Factomize for re-forming committees (except Core Committee). (Item from previous week: Nic) |
| Discussion | Nic: As discussed last time we are in the middle of the holiday season, so for discussing re-forming committees and having a vote the timing is not great.  Nic: Early next year the discussions of the re-forming the committee will go up and we will work through that process. Some people also mentioned that some current committees should be reintroduced as working groups instead ( **[Cube3] MikeBToday at 9:20 PM** Can we ensure that the committee threads are populated by the committee (members) first? It's hard to comment before that.  Nic: I’m not sure what you mean about that?  Niels: I think what he refers to is first having an open discussion (not formal proposal) where people can discuss the formation of the committee, make their interest known etc - and only then have the formal committee creation process initiated. **[Cube3] MikeBToday at 9:21 PM** It needs the commitee to make a statement before the community can comment.  As Niels has suggested.:thumbsup:    Nolan: That makes sense. |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 6 | ANO Alert System Bot Update (item from previous week: Nolan) |
| Discussion | Worked on drafting up the transition timeline. Will release this week. Still on track for a 1 Feb transition, unless the core committee forming hits some roadblocks.  Nic: Not entirely happy to coordinate this while core commmittee is being-reformed and we are not sure about new members/chair.  Nolan: Yeah, we might postpone it a bit due to that, and in the meantime interface with Canonical Ledgers in the meantime to discuss moving it and setting up Twilio accounts etc. |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 7 | U**pcoming tasks** |
| **Discussion** | Nic:  Next week:   * Participate in overall process proposal eluded to by Niels earlier.   **To**r:  Next week:   * Coordinate Doc 007 (Testnet Governance) discussion and vote.     Nolan**:**  Next week:   * Work on ANO alert system bot transition   + release timeline   + Get information regarding server to host   + Discord channel for parking   + POC in Core Committee for overseeing transition   Brian**:**   * Upcoming week   + Mostly holiday break   Niels:  Next week: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 8 | Open Floor |
| **Discussion** | Niels: There has been some discussion about skipping the next weeks meeting due to the holiday.  Nic: On that note I’m going on a 2 week holiday from the 6th of January, so I will have to skip two meetings the 6th and 13th  Nolan: Looks like everyone is on board with skipping the next weeks guide meeting, so I’ll make a motion to skip the meeting on the 30th of December.  Brian: I’ll second that motion. |
| **Conclusion** | 5/5 of the guides votes in support of skipping the next guide meeting due to the Holidays. |
| **Follow up** |  |

TRG3 motions to adjourn, Factom Inc. seconds.

Vote result: Meeting adjourned.

Meeting adjourned at 21:45 UTC.